PEGEVED Gentral fax center

FEB 2 3 2004

2712 N. ASHLAND AVENUE CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60614 OFFICIAL

VOICE: 773-404-6650 FAX: 773-404-6450

E-MAIL: PATENTS@POTTHASTLAW.COM

Law Offices of
POTTHAST
&
ASSOCIATES

CONFIDENTIAL FAX

	03 PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE FROM:					SARA M. POTTHAST	
	ATT	N: ANTHO	NY WEJE	R-GROUP 1761			
Fax:	703-	703-872-9306			Pages:	-3-	
Phone:	571-	272-1409			Date:	02/20/2004	
Re:	USS	US SER. NO.10/078,600-			CC:		
	RES	PONSE TO	REST. I	REQUIREMENT			
X Urgei	nt	□ For Re	eview	☐ Please Com	nment	X Please Reply	☐ Piease Recycle
• Comr	ment	5:			· ·		
ATTACI OF TRA	HED I	IS A RESP ISSION.	PONSE T	O RESTRICTION	N REQU	REMENT(2 PAGES	S) WITH CERTIFICATE
PLEASE	E ACK	NOWLED	GE RECE	EIPT.			
THANK	YOU.						

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: THIS FACSIMILE COMMUNICATION IS PROTECTED BY LAW AGAINST IMPROPER INTERCEPTION, USE OR DISSEMINATION. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INDICATED RECIPIENT, BUT HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION THROUGH NO FAULT OF YOUR OWN, WE APOLOGIZE FOR ANY INCONVENIENCE. IN SUCH EVENT, IT IS REQUESTED THAT YOU IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY OUR OFFICE SO THAT ARRANGEMENTS MAY BE MADE FOR RETRANSMISSION AND RETURN OR DESTRUCTION OF THE COMMUNICATION AT NO COST TO YOU.

PEOLIVED CENTRAL PAX CENTER

FEB 2 3 2004

FET-25

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of Zbigniew G. Lassota et al.

"Beverage Making System with Flow Meter Measurement Control and Method"

Ser. No. 10/078,600 Filed: February 19, 2002 Examiner: Anthony J. Weier Group Art Unit: 1761

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

BY FAX: 703-872-9306

Sir:

In response to the restriction requirement of February 4, 2004, applicant respectfully elects without prejudice and with traverse, the claims of Group 1: Claims 1-28 and 57-63.

The restriction requirement is inappropriate because of the virtual use of the same language and same limitations in the apparatus and method claims. In such claims having the same limitations, one cannot practice the apparatus without also practicing the method and vice versa. This should be self-evident from a reading of the claims, and assertions that such claims can be practiced independently is without logic or merit Accordingly, with respect to such claims having substantially the same language, it is irrelevant they may be classified differently with respect to such claims.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the restriction requirement is therefore

respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

James W. Potthäst, Re

Customer No. 28,439 Potthast & Associates 2712 North Ashland Avenue Chicago, Il 60614-1106

Voice: 773-404-6650 Fax: 773-404-6450

CERTIFICATE OF TRANMISSION BY FACSIMILE (37 CFR 1.8)

I hereby certify that the forgoing Amendment A(2-pages) and fax transmittal form (1-page) is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Fax. No. 703-872-9306) on this 20th day of June, 2004.

Sara M. Pottle